

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,723	12/05/2001	Mika Forssell	874.0104.U1(US)	1186
	7590 11/01/200 N & SMITH, PC	EXAMINER		
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE	PARK, JUNG H		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>06 August 2007</i> . 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☒ Claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Jung Park Jun	Office Action Commence	10/004,723	FORSSELL, MIKA			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estantians of the may be evaluate under the provision of 37 CFR 1-1360, in no event, however, may a resty be timely filled. If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maintain a stationy period wit apply and we lopic SNX (5) MONTHS from the maintain data of the provision of 37 CFR 1-1360, in no event, however, may a resty be timely filled. If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maintain a station predict with a pagin and the open application for some machinoching (5) study. Sq. 1333, Any reply versived by the Office later than free modifies after the maintain data of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any assets plasmit men allipatimes. Set of 27 Rf 1-7860. Status Status Status Status I) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are plowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.10-f. 19.0.2.4-31.5-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6.10-f. 19.0.2.4-31.5-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6.10-f. 19.0.2.4-31.5-38 and 42 is/are rejected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be writing to write the provision of 37 CPt 1-136(b). In or event, however, may a regiv be timely filed. If NO period for may is specified above, the maximum statutory period wile group, and well expire (x) (x) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply medium term silpulation. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply medium term silpulation. Failure term silpulation. Province partners and plusterin. Set 37 CPTR 1-74(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merrits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partle Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-6, 10-15, 19, 20, 24-31, 35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6, 10-15, 19, 20, 24-31, 35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6, 10-15, 19, 20, 24-31, 35-38 and 42 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-6, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CPt 1.95(a). Replacement drawing shee(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CPt 1.121(d). 11) The oath or						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 30° FR1-130°B, in no event, however, may a reply be timely field after 51X (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A provision of the						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2007. 2a	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 					
2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10-15,19,20,24-31,35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status					
2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10-15,19,20,24-31,35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au	jaust 2007.				
3		_ _				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8.10-15.19.20.24-31.35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9.16-18.22-23.32-34. and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Internal Patent Application	.—	, —				
4)	•	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7-9, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
6) Claim(s) 1-6, 10-15,19,20,24-31,35-38 and 42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) 7-9, 16-18, 22-23, 32-34, and 39-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· — · · · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	o) are subject to restriction and s	olookorrioquii olii olii.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, what is mean by "buffering the cell change PDU message into a PDU transmit queue before any buffered PDUs that were present before the mobile station entered the new cell"? Is the cell change PDU physically located at the same queue before any buffered PDU? Claims 11, 29 and 36 are more clearly pointing out the claiming subjection matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 11-15, and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Otting et al. (US 2002/0186675, "Otting").

Regarding claim 1, Otting discloses a mobile station executed method for changing from a current cell to a new cell in a wireless packet data network, comprising:

- entering the new cell (new cell, see 116 fig.1 and fig.4);

Art Unit: 2619

- generating a cell change packet data unit (PDU) message for informing the network of the location of the mobile station in the new cell (logical link control (LLC) frame for reselecting of new cell, see fig.2, fig.4, and ¶.19);

- buffering the cell change PDU message into a PDU transmit queue (store LLC frame for packet transmission, see ¶.19) before any buffered PDUs that were present before the mobile station entered the new cell (when a reselection is completed, packet data is transmitted to the other cell, that is, LLC PDU is stored before transmitting packet data, see ¶.19); and

- transmitting the buffered cell change PDU before any of the buffered PDUs that were present before the mobile station entered the new cell (when a reselection complete signal is received, packet data is transmitted to the other cell using LLC frame size, see ¶.19).

Regarding claim 2, Otting discloses, "wherein the step of transmitting includes a preliminary step of requesting an uplink resource for transmitting the cell change PDU (inherently have the request for LLC frame from MS to Cell, see fig.2 and fig.4)."

Regarding claim 3, Otting discloses, "wherein the step of transmitting includes a preliminary step of requesting an uplink Temporary Block Flow (TBF) for transmitting the cell change PDU (temporary block flow, see fig.4 and ¶.33)."

Regarding claim 4, Otting discloses, "wherein the wireless packet data network, in response to receiving the cell change PDU, transmits downlink PDUs for the mobile station into the new cell (fig.4 and ¶.23)."

Regarding claim 5, Otting discloses, "wherein the generated cell change PDU is transmitted only if a first PDU in the transmit queue exceeds a predetermined length (minimum frame size, fig.5 and ¶.38), otherwise the cell change PDU is discarded and the first PDU in the transmit queue is transmitted instead (fig. 6 and ¶.39)."

Regarding claim 6, Otting discloses, "wherein the wireless packet data network is comprised of a General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) network (fig.2 and ¶.7), wherein the PDUs are Logical Link Control (LLC) PDUs (fig.2 and fig.4), and where the cell change LLC PDU has a length that fits within one Radio Link Control (RLC) data block (fig.4)."

Regarding claims 11 and 36, they are claims corresponding to claim 1 and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claims 12-15, 37, and 38, they are claims corresponding to claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 2, & 7, respectively and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of the claims.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lupien et al. (US 6463055, "Lupien").

Regarding claim 20, Lupien discloses a method for informing a Serving General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) Support Node (SGSN) of a wireless network that a Mobile Station (MS) has made a cell change, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/004,723

Art Unit: 2619

- changing from a first cell to a second cell with the MS (cell updated, see col.29, ln.51-52); and

Page 5

- prior to the SGSN receiving at least one of a Packet Data Unit (PDU) and a message from the MS (cell update control procedure before data packet transfer, see fig.9; col.29, ln.43-col.30, ln.8), notifying the SGSN of the MS cell change (inform SGSN of cell change, see col.29, ln.43-col.30, ln.8 and also col.15, ln.35-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10, 19, 35, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otting in view of Forslow (US 6937566, "Forslow").

Regarding claim 10, Otting lacks what Forslow discloses, "wherein the step of generating includes setting a priority level of the cell change PDU such that the step of buffering the cell change PDU message into the PDU transmit queue causes the cell change PDU to be transmitted before any lower priority PDUs (col.13, ln.25-47)."

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to apply the weighted fair queuing (WFQ) algorithm taught by Forslow into the system of Otting in order to control LLC flows based on the quality of service and/or priority for better service.

Regarding claims 19, 35, and 42, they are claims corresponding to claim 10 and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 10.

8. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupien in view of Otting.

Regarding claim 24, Lupien lacks what Otting discloses, "wherein the step of notifying occurs in response to the MS being assigned a TDMA frame number of when to make the cell change (LLC frame, GPS uses TDMA, see fig.4)." Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to apply LLC frame number taught by Otting into the cell change method of Lupien in order to have sequence of TDMA frame for frame assembly with the motivation of having standard protocol compliance.

Regarding claim 25, Lupien discloses, "wherein the step of notifying occurs in response to the network receiving a Radio Link Control/Medium Access Control (RLC/MAC) message from the MS (fig.9 and col.29, ln.43-col.30, ln.8)."

Regarding claim 26, Lupien discloses, "wherein the step of notifying occurs in response to the network receiving a Temporary Logical Link Identifier (TLLI) from the MS (TLLI, see col.10, In.16-41)."

9. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupien in view of Forslow.

Regarding claim 27, it is a claim corresponding to claims 20 and 10 and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 20 and 10.

Regarding claim 28, it is a claim corresponding to claim 10 and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 10.

10. Claims 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otting.

Regarding claim 29, it is a claim corresponding to claim 1, except the computer readable medium. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to use software-based machines. The benefit using computer-readable medium is that program can be changed and upgraded and new features are added easily than hardware changes and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, they are claims corresponding to claims 2 and 3, respectively and are therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 7-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. Claims 16-18, 22, 23, 32-34, and 39-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 8

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 14. should be directed to Jung Park whose telephone number is 571-272-8565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 6:15-3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on 571-272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,723

Art Unit: 2619

JP Jung Park

Patent Examiner

EDAN · . ORGAD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Olgan 10/19/07

Page 9